Document: Response to comments on the Surface Sediment FSP Date of RTC Check: 3/26/2018

Comment Number	Did Response Address Comment?	Confirmed Change in Surface Sediment FSP?
Primary Comments		
1	Partially, the comment requests that current SOPs be used and the response was that pages from relevant SOPs will be incorporated into the FSP.	Mostly, the SOP in Appendix B-1 is in progress and excluded from the FSP.
2	Yes, pending review of the forthcoming task hazard analysis that will be added to the project. HASP:	Yes, pending review of TSHA.
3	Yes	Yes
4	Partially, need to check the SOP pages they are adding to the FSP (similar to primary comment #1).	Yes, Section 4.3 text and Appendix B-2 (surface water sampling SOP from RI Round 2 FSP) adequately describe power grab samplers.
5	Yes	Yes
6	Partially, need to review the RLSO text to understand if the response addresses the comment.	Yes, RLSO text adequately addresses the comment.
7	Yes.	Yes
8	Yes	No review needed
9	Yes	No review needed
10	Yes	Yes
11	Yes	Yes, IDW SOP is adequate.
12	Yes	Yes, but should ensure during field oversight that sampling does not occur in capped areas.
To be Considered Com	ments	
1	Yes	Yes
2	Yes	Yes
3	Yes	Yes
4	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	Yes
6	Yes	Yes
7	Yes	Yes
8	Yes	Yes
9	Yes	Yes
Matters of Style Comr.		
1	Yes	Yes
2	Yes	Yes
3	Yes	Yes
4	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	No change needed
6	Yes	Yes
0	ies	
7	Yes	Yes. However, Table 5 contains a strikethrough for the word "blind" in the "Blind Field Duplicates" row, which should be removed.
	Response is adequate pending review of updated FSP	
	Indicates a Conditional Approval need and callout for extra attention to the RLSO in the FSP and/or QAPP, or a clarification need, or the response does not fully address the comment	
	Not responsive and needs correction	

Summary of Key Comments on the TSHA

- The JBA calls call that the vessel operator will submit a float Plan to the ACCOM FM. The JBA states that the Foot Rhan will include a Commenciation Plan as well betther of these plans were included in the IBA but it seems like we hould receive a copy of these plans so that everyish staff can read them before work begins (also, I am not sure if they need to be a part of the activity specific HASP Addendum review or not). It could in those requirements.

The HASP Addendum presents coefficient plans the fill on and communication plan (if seems like it could it those requirements).

The HASP Addendum presents coefficient pinformation on which type of PFD should be worn and the connect PFD type should be identified.

Self-ey lasses and hard hard mark before some continuous or person of the Van Veen.

Self-ey dissess and hard hard marks be included a PPF for porecosing liberatory.

Self-ey dissess and hard hard marks be included as PPF for porecosing liberatory.

**Self-ey dissess and hard hard marks be included as PPF for porecosing liberatory.

**Self-ey liberatory control processing liberatory.

**Index/NOPER must be listed as required training per the requirements of the Programmatic HASP.